





PAPER NUMBER

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O Box 1450 Alexandra, Vigania 22313-1450 www.uspro.gcv

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/765,520 01/17/2001 Patrick E. Feierabend OC0100US 9519 22849 7590 07/09/2003 SCOTT W HEWETT EXAMINER 400 WEST THIRD STREET SANGHAVI, HEMANG #223 SANTA ROSA, CA 95401

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	A	- N-	A	\	
•	~	Applicatio		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comments		09/765,520)	FEIERABEND ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Hemang S		2874		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	oears on the	cover sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
THE - External after - If the results of the result	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ly within the statul will apply and will e, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the content of the	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	<u> April 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims				ne merits is	
·	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application	n				
→/ك	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-51</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[•]	Claim(s) <u>23</u> is/are allowed.					
· · · —	Claim(s) <u>1,7 and 12-17, 22</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>2-6,8-11 and 18-21</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		quirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	pted or b)	objected to by the Exar	miner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	·	•		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) <u></u> ap	proved b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examir	ier.	
🗖	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		ce action.			
, —-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	□ All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage	
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
	a) \square The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachmer	nt(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> ,			(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT		

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of the invention (Group I, claims 1-23) in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by MacDonald (US 2002/0017834).

MacDonald discloses an actuated MEMS device comprising a base portion of a die, a pivoting member with a hinge, a mirror having a mirror surface essentially parallel to the major surface, the mirror being integrated with the pivoting member; and an actuator disposed to rotate the pivoting member to move the mirror from a fist switch position to a second switch position. See [0063] at page 4, [00115] at page 8, and Figs. 11a, 11b, and 15.

Claims 1, 7, 12-15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Behin et al (US 2001/0050801).

Art Unit: 2874

Behin et al discloses a MEMS device comprising a base portion of a die, a pivoting member with a hinge, a mirror having a mirror surface essentially parallel to the major surface, the mirror being integrated with the pivoting member; and an actuator disposed to rotate the pivoting member to move the mirror from a fist switch position to a second switch position. See Abstract and Fig. 2. The mirror also includes a magnetic rib, which is a thicker portion of the mirror. As stated at section [0032], the single-crystal silicon layer has thickness of 50 microns.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 16-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Behin et al.

Art Unit: 2874

Behin et al, as discussed above, fails to disclose a thickness of the rib section being 40 microns and the thickness of the thinner section of the mirror being 20 microns.

However, achieving optimum thickness for the mirror and the rib section would require routine experimentation.

Lacking criticality in the specification as the thickness of the mirror and the rib section, the ordinary artisan would have found it to be obvious at the time of the invention to achieve optimum thickness for the mirror and the rib section (thicker portion) performing routine experimentation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 23 is allowed over the prior art of record.

Claims 2-6, 8-11 and 18-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wood et al, Chiu et al, and Huibers et al disclose different types of MEMS devices.

The prior art submitted by applicant has been considered. See attached copy of form PTO-1449.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemang Sanghavi whose telephone number is 703-

Art Unit: 2874

305-3484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 AM-6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on 703-308-4819. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Hemang Sanghavi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2874 Page 5

hs June 30, 2003